63

64

PAGE 1

```
2
    MEETING IS CALLED TO ORDER:
3
              MR. LEVITON: I'm going to call this meeting to order
4
5
    and ask everyone to stand for the flag salute.
6
7
    SALUTE TO THE FLAG:
8
9
              MR. LEVITON: Pursuant to section five of the Open
    Public Meetings Act, notice of this meeting was sent and
10
    advertised in the Asbury Park Press. A copy of that notice was
11
    posted on the bulletin board where public notices are
12
13
    displayed in the municipal building. In addition a copy of
    this notice is and has been on file and was available to the
14
    public in the office of the municipal clerk. Accordingly this
15
   meeting is deemed to be in compliance with the Open Public
16
   Meetings Act. Roll call please.
17
18
    ROLL CALL:
19
20
21
              MS. MOENCH: Mr. Cooper? Not with us tonight. Mr.
22
23
24
              MR. GREGOWICZ: Here.
25
              MS. MOENCH: Mr. DiTota?
26
27
              MR. DITOTA: Here.
28
29
              MS. MOENCH: Mr. Rosenthal?
30
31
32
              MR. ROSENTHAL: Here.
33
34
              MS. MOENCH: Mr. Schertz?
35
              MR. SCHERTZ: Here.
36
37
              MS. MOENCH: Mr. Weiss?
38
39
              MR. WEISS: Here.
40
41
42
              MS. MOENCH: Mr. Mantagas?
43
44
              MR. MANTAGAS: Here.
45
              MS. MOENCH: Mr. Shalikar?
46
47
48
              MR. SHALIKAR: Here.
49
50
              MS. MOENCH: Chair Leviton?
51
              \ensuremath{\mathsf{MR}}\xspace . LEVITON: Here. Okay our first order of business
52
    is to accept the minutes from June 16th. I'm sure you've all
53
    had time to review them. Will someone who was at the meeting
54
55
    and is eligible please make a motion to do so and then will
    someone second it?
56
57
58
              MR. DITOTA: So moved.
59
60
              MR. LEVITON: Thank you David.
61
```

MR. GREGOWICZ: Second.

MR. LEVITON: Thank you Bob.

```
1
2
              MS. MOENCH: It's David and Bob right?
3
4
              MR. LEVITON: Yes.
5
              MS. MOENCH: Okay.
6
7
8
    ROLL CALL:
9
10
              MS. MOENCH: Mr. Gregowicz?
11
              MR. GREGOWICZ: Yes.
12
13
              MS. MOENCH: Mr. DiTota?
14
15
              MR. DITOTA: Yes.
16
17
18
              MS. MOENCH: Mr. Schertz?
19
              MR. SCHERTZ: Yes.
20
21
22
              MS. MOENCH: Mr. Mantagas?
23
24
              MR. MANTAGAS: Yes.
25
              MS. MOENCH: Chair Leviton?
26
27
              MR. LEVITON: Yes. Okay next there are three
28
    resolutions to be memorialized this evening. The first one is
29
    number ZBE2204, counselor.
30
31
              MR. GLASS: Yes, thank you. This was an application
32
    for bulk variance relief with the applicant proposed to
33
34
    construct a twenty-four foot by forty-five foot approximate
    addition or 1,100 square feet. On behalf of their requested
35
    relief from the minimum front yard setback in R40/20 zone a
36
    setback, a minimum front yard setback is sixty feet. A 29.4
37
    foot setback existed and a 30.2 foot setback was proposed. The
38
    applicant proposal was granted. There was a condition of
39
    approval placed on there that the applicant agreed to submit a
40
    grating plan to the board and the board's engineer for the ---
41
42
43
              MR. LEVITON: Thank you Mr. Glass. Will someone make
44
    a motion?
45
46
              MR. GREGOWICZ: I'll make the motion.
47
48
              MR. LEVITON: Thank you Bob.
49
50
              MR. MANTAGAS: I'll second.
51
52
              MR. LEVITON: Thank you Basil.
53
    ROLL CALL:
54
55
              MS. MOENCH: Mr. Gregowicz?
56
57
58
              MR. GREGOWICZ: Yes.
59
60
              MS. MOENCH: Mr. DiTota?
61
62
              MR. DITOTA: Yes.
63
```

MS. MOENCH: Mr. Schertz?

PAGE 3

```
1
2
              MR. SCHERTZ: Yes.
3
4
              MS. MOENCH: Mr. Mantagas?
5
              MR. MANTAGAS: Yes.
6
7
              MS. MOENCH: Chair Leviton?
8
9
10
              MR. LEVITON: Yes. Next up was 2216, Mr. Glass.
11
              MR. GLASS: This was also an application for bulk
12
    variance relief. If you will recall the applicant proposed to
13
    construct a 35 foot wide by 21 foot in depth by 25 foot high
14
15
    detached garage to replace a garage of similar size that had
    burnt down. After, as we went through the hearing, the
16
    applicant in the end requested four variances. I'll just go
17
18
    through them. The first one that private detached garage is
    for a dwelling may be designed for a maximum of three vehicles
19
    and shall not exceed 35 feet in width by 24 feet in depth by
20
21
    15 feet in height whereas 25 feet in height was proposed.
    Secondly a detached garage may have a storage area occupying a
22
    maximum floor area of 12 feet in width by 24 feet in depth.
23
24
    The storage area shall not expand the floor area of the garage
25
   beyond the maximum permitted 35 feet in width by 24 feet in
    depth. In this situation the applicant proposed storage
    throughout the garage. Additionally no second-level of storage
    would be permitted. The applicant through testimony proposed
    second-level storage. Additionally no accessory building shall
   be constructed before the principle building was a proposed
30
31
    attached garage would be still constructed. The application
32
    was granted. There was a condition of approval, where the
    applicant agreed that no commercial or business activity would
33
34
    occur on the property.
35
36
              MR. LEVITON: Thank you Mr. Glass. Will someone move
37
    to memorialize?
38
39
              MR. GREGOWICZ: I'll make the motion.
40
41
              MR. LEVITON: Thank you Bob.
42
              MR. DITOTA: I'll second.
43
44
45
              MR. LEVITON: Thank you Rob.
46
47
              MS. MOENCH: I'm sorry who was the second?
48
49
              MR. LEVITON: Mr. DiTota.
50
51
              MS. MOENCH: Thank you.
52
    ROLL CALL:
53
54
55
              MS. MOENCH: Mr. Gregowicz?
56
57
              MR. GREGOWICZ: Yes.
58
59
              MS. MOENCH: Mr. DiTota?
60
              MR. DITOTA: Yes.
61
62
63
              MS. MOENCH: Mr. Schertz?
```

PAGE 4

```
1
              MR. SCHERTZ: Yes.
2
3
              MS. MOENCH: Mr. Mantagas?
4
5
              MR. MANTAGAS: Yes.
6
7
              MS. MOENCH: Chair Leviton?
8
              MR. LEVITON: Yes and lastly was 2217.
9
10
11
              MR. GLASS: Yes thank you again, another application
    for bulk variance relief. In this situation the applicant
12
    proposed to construct a five foot tall fence in the front yard
13
    setback, more specifically the front yard in the RAG zoning
14
    district is 100 feet. The applicant proposed to construct the
15
    fence at 76 feet from the front yard lot line. Additionally in
16
    a residential zone fences shall not exceed three feet in
17
18
    height and the proposed fence is five feet in height and the
19
    application was granted with no conditions.
20
21
              MR. LEVITON: Thank you Mr. Glass. We need a motion
22
    and a second.
23
24
              MR. MANTAGAS: I'll make the motion. ---
25
              MR. LEVITON: Thank you Basil and we need a second.
26
27
              MR. GREGOWICZ: I'll second.
28
29
              MR. LEVITON: Thank you Rob.
30
31
    ROLL CALL:
32
33
34
              MS. MOENCH: Mr. Gregowicz?
35
              MR. GREGOWICZ: Yes.
36
37
              MS. MOENCH: Mr. DiTota?
38
39
              MR. DITOTA: Yes.
40
41
42
              MS. MOENCH: Mr. Schertz?
43
              MR. SCHERTZ: Yes.
44
45
46
              MS. MOENCH: Mr. Mantagas?
47
48
              MR. MANTAGAS: Yes.
49
50
              MS. MOENCH: Chair Leviton?
51
              MR. LEVITON: Yes. Okay there are two public hearings
52
    tonight and the first one is number ZBE2219 and that would be
53
54
    Ms. Catapano. Did I say that correctly?
55
56
              MRS. CATAPANO - yes
57
58
              MR. LEVITON: You may come up and make yourselves
    comfortable. Once you get here Mr. Glass, the board's
59
60
    attorney, will swear you in. You can sit, be comfortable.
61
              MRS. CATAPANO: Okay.
62
```

64

PAGE 5

MR. GLASS: Start with you sir, if you well first off could you please spell and state your name? 3 4 MR. CATAPANO: Anthony Catapano. 5 MR. GLASS: And then raise your right hand. Do you 6 7 swear or affirm that the testimony you're about to provide is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? 8 10 MR. CATAPANO: Yes. 11 MR. GLASS: And for you ma'am, please spell and state 12 13 your name. 14 15 MRS. CATAPANO: Della Catapano. 16 17 MR. GLASS: And again do you swear or affirm that the testimony you are about to provide is the truth, the whole 18 truth, and nothing but the truth? 19 20 21 MRS. CATAPANO: Yes I do. 22 23 MR. LEVITON: Mr. and Mrs. Catapano, before we begin would you please pull the mics back to your face and remember that everything that we say and that we do is recorded and then transcribed so the manufacturer suggests within like the length of your thumb to speak into it. 27 MRS. CATAPANO: Oh okay. 29 30 31 MR. LEVITON: Okay. You're here tonight to legitimize your fence, the extension of your driveway, your pool patio, 32 and I think that's it. Talk to the board and let them know 33 34 what brings you here tonight and about your application. 35 MR. CATAPANO: Okay so we're talking about the fence 36 37 in the back? 38 MR. LEVITON: The Board needs to address the 39 improvements as if they are not yet installied. Talk to them 40 and let them know what you need and why it's a problem and the 41 relief that you seek. 42 43 44 MR. CATAPANO: Okay so we put a fence up in the back 45 where the shed is. We added a pool I don't know eleven years 46 ago? 47 48 MRS. CATAPANO: Yeah eleven years ago. 49 50 MR. CATAPANO: Something like that. 51 MRS. CATAPANO: Yeah. 52 53 MR. CATAPANO: And I'm told the shed might be too 54 55 close to the fence, but the shed was there. So I'm looking for a variance. I believe there was a variance given in 1988 from 56 what I see here on the paper and we're here for the driveway 57 58 too. 59 MRS. CATAPANO: The front fence. 60 61 62 MR. CATAPANO: The front fence, the driveway.

MRS. CATAPANO: Yeah.

```
1
2
              MR. CATAPANO: The driveway's right we added a new
    driveway.
3
4
5
              MRS. CATAPANO: And we extended it.
6
7
              MR. CATAPANO: And we extended it I think eight feet,
    but we're a little too close to the property according to the
8
    paperwork. I think it's six feet and we have to be ten and
9
10
    what else?
11
12
              MR. CATAPANO: That's it, the front one.
13
              MRS. CATAPANO: That's it, okay.
14
15
              MR. CATAPANO: Yeah and what else?
16
17
18
              MRS. CATAPANO: I think that's it. The other ones we
19
   have to get a permit for.
20
21
              MR. CATAPANO: The other ones are permits, right.
22
23
              MRS. CATAPANO: Yeah.
24
25
              MR. CATAPANO: The deck is not attached to the house.
26
              MRS. CATAPANO: Yeah.
27
28
              MR. LEVITON: Okay so, okay so it's not the pool
29
30 itself that's the problem. It's the patio and the patio is too
31
   close to the rear setback.
32
33
              MR. CATAPANO: Okay.
34
              MR. LEVITON: And there was a variance granted to the
35
    previous owner, but the work that they did wasn't compliant
36
    with what was permitted and it is your responsibility to bring
37
    that property back into conformance should the board not
38
    favorably act upon the application this evening. So let's get
39
    clear for the record Mr. Glass, he's a stickler for details.
40
    Your shed encroaches one foot into the side setback and two
41
    feet into the rear yard setback and Ms. DeFalco is the zoning
42
    officer it's likely that either her or her assistant
43
44
    identified the problem.
45
46
              MRS. CATAPANO: Okay.
47
              MR. LEVITON: Also the driveway is too close also to
48
    the side property line and it is two feet of an encroachment I
49
50
    believe. Is that right Nancy?
51
              MS. DEFALCO: Driveway is two feet.
52
53
              MR. LEVITON: Two feet so there is eight feet and ten
54
55
    feet is the minimum setback on the side and then lastly your
    patio encroaches thirteen feet into the front yard setback.
56
```

MR. GLASS: If you really I believe that's actually six in total.

57 58

59

60

61 62 you quantify it for us?

I'm sorry your patio is a foot and a half encroaching into the

rear setback and the fence is thirteen feet into the front and its six feet instead of three so there are a lot. That's at

least three variances that they're requesting Mr. Glass can

1 2

MR. LEVITON: Yeah I see there are sub-variances wit is six different variances. Each one of them counts.

 MR. GLASS: Correct because you're going from in the first one from just going I guess from top to bottom on the denial from the zoning resolution you would have from the side yard which would be one. From the rear yard which would be a second one. Then you would do the driveway would be a third. The swimming pool or the patio, however you want to term it, being a foot and a half too close would be the fourth and then the fence you would have two of those. One for the height and then one for the setback so that would be everything six total.

MR. LEVITON: Okay and now this board cannot just indiscriminately grant you relief. There's always basis and law in fact for grantingrelief and whatever we do is going to be documented. Earlier you heard we memorialized applications that we heard at our last gathering. You've got to satisfy positive and negative criteria. Were they given a packet?

MS. DEFLACO: Just before we start I just wanted to clarify it was the shed that received the variance not the pool patio.

MRS. CATAPANO: Right.

MS. DEFALCO: And in '88 when they received the variance the setback requirement was much different than it is today. Today they need allowances for smaller sheds whereas in the past you would have to follow the minimum setback requirement which their zone would be fifteen from the side and ten from the rear, but today sizes 100 square feet or less only need to be five and five.

MR. LEVITON: So you'd call this a pre-existing, non-conformance?

MS. DEFALCO: Not really because they don't conform to the approval that the board gave them in 1988. That's primarily why they're here today. So they can't use that ten year rule for the ZCCO because there's a violation of the zoning board resolution.

MR. LEVITON: Okay so now there's the matter of establishing a reason for us to grant the relief. So you need to establish that there's a hardship that runs with the property or that the work that was done somehow advances the purposes of the municipal land use law and so I'll go back and ask Janice they were provided with that information? They know their responsibilities? Okay give the board something that they can hang their hat on. They'd like to help you.

MR. CATAPANO: Well I mean everything is tied into the pool. We had a new electric back there was put in the shed. The gas lines run along the shed. The heat is back there. I mean it's all tied in.

MRS. CATAPANO: Yeah and they came out and approved everything at that time. We got everything approved. We didn't fail anything.

63 64

conformity.

PAGE 8

```
1
               MR. LEVITON: You were approved for construction
2
    permits.
3
4
               MRS. CATAPANO: Everything.
5
               MR. LEVITON: Construction this is different.
6
7
               MR. CATAPANO: That's zoning I understand.
8
9
10
               MRS. CATAPANO: Now we know that yeah. So everything
11
    was already approved.
12
               MR. LEVITON: Yeah and to be fair the shed's not your
13
    fault. That was done by the previous owner.
14
15
               MRS. CATAPANO: No but I love that shed.
16
17
               MR. LEVITON: I understand. Let's go out to the
18
    board. We'll start with Mr. Shalikar.
19
20
21
               MR. SHALIKAR: Yes so you're saying that in the shed
22
    you have plumbing and you have electric.
23
24
               MR. CATAPANO: Right the heater.
25
               MR. SHALIKAR: The pool.
26
27
               MR. CATAPANO: Yes and there's electric around the
28
29
    shed.
30
31
               MR. SHALIKAR: Situated in the shed?
32
33
               MR. CATAPANO: Yes.
34
35
               MR. SHALIKAR: So to move that shed would be a
    hardship for you?
36
37
               MR. CATAPANO: Oh without a doubt.
38
39
               MRS. CATAPANO: Yeah.
40
41
               MR. SHALIKAR: Okay no further questions.
42
43
               MR. LEVITON: Mr. Rosenthal, thank you Josh.
44
45
    {\tt MR.} ROSENTHAL: I just had a question about the extension on the driveway. There's a two feet issue, was that
46
47
    part of the extension that caused that or was it already non-
48
    conforming?
49
50
               MR. CATAPANO: I'm not sure.
51
52
53
               MR. ROSENTHAL: Nancy?
54
55
               MS. DEFALCO: I'm sorry?
56
               MR. ROSENTHAL: The driveway extension is that why
57
58
    it's now non-conforming or was it previously non-conforming?
59
               MS. DEFALCO: Previously it was fine, a small
60
    extension was added to close to the lot line.
61
```

MR. ROSENTHAL: And that's causing the non-

64

PAGE 9

```
2
              MS. DEFALCO: That's another variance.
3
              MS. DEFALCO: The Applicant applied to the board for
5
    one variance then we look at the whole property. I should also
    mention that when they put the pool in and put the fence up
6
    which is required by code the setback was 50 and as you all
    know the R20 changed to a 60 foot setback so that now leaves
8
    the fence in violation, but because they're here for the shed
9
    everything should just be addressed.
10
11
12
              MR. LEVITON: Oh that makes a little difference
13
    doesn't it?
14
15
              MR. ROSENTHAL: The height of the fence that you're
   seeking?
16
17
18
              MR. CATAPANO: Is it six feet?
19
              MRS. CATAPANO: What?
20
21
              MR. CATAPANO: The fence.
22
23
24
              MR. ROSENTHAL: Six feet?
25
              MR. CATAPANO: Yes.
26
27
              MRS. CATAPANO: Yeah I can't hear I'm sorry.
28
29
              MR. ROSENTHAL: No further questions.
30
31
32
              MR. LEVITON: Thank you Terry. Thank you Nancy.
33
34
              MR. GLASS: If you don't mind just for the record,
    Nancy which of the six variances being requested which ones of
35
    them have come into effect? Which ones are required just by
36
    virtue of passage of time?
37
38
              MS. DEFALCO: The fence in the front yard. They're
39
    both coming into effect, the three foot in height in the front
40
    yard and the six foot.
41
42
              MR. LEVITON: And that's because?
43
44
45
              MS. DEFALCO: His fence is in the front yard.
46
47
              MR. LEVITON: The Township changed its ordinance for
    the front yard setback from 50 feet which you complied with
48
    when it was installed to 60 feet and now you're not in
49
50
    compliance and again Mr. Glass, he documents everything, you
51
    see takes copious notes. Okay, Adam.
52
53
              MR. WEISS: Okay Mr. Shalikar asked the big question
54
    I was going to ask so thank you Mr. Shalikar. With regard to
    the pool you said you put that in eleven years ago?
55
56
57
              MRS. CATAPANO: I think it's eleven now.
58
59
              MR. CATAPANO: 2009.
60
61
              MR. WEISS: Okay.
62
63
              MRS. CATAPANO: Yeah.
```

MR. CATAPANO: That's a little older. 1 2 3 MR. WEISS: And my question for Nancy is was it conforming at the time versus now? 4 5 MS. DEFALCO: Yes. At the time it was conforming 6 7 because there probably was only a three foot walk around the 8 pool. 9 10 MR. WEISS: Right. 11 MS. DEFALCO: So I don't know if during construction 12 of the pool add a little bit more concrete here, little bit 13 more there so now it's non-conforming. 14 15 MR. WEISS: But that part that extends over to make 16 it non-conforming what exactly is on that property? Is it a 17 pool deck or is it? 18 19 MS. DEFALCO: It's his pool patio, right so the 20 21 concrete patio around the pool. It encroaches the rear setback 22 by a foot and a half. 23 24 MR. WEISS: Okay and Mr. and Mrs. Catapano that would 25 be a significant hardship for you to have to break up that concrete? 27 MR. CATAPANO: Oh yes. 28 29 MR. WEISS: And redo all of that. 30 31 MR. CATAPANO: Yes it would. 32 33 34 MR. LEVITON: Just for the record, the hardship has to run with the land. It's topography, it's a shape. It can't 35 be a hardship financially for the applicant or for them 36 physically. It's got to run with the land. 37 38 39 MR. WEISS: Which leads to my next question. 40 41 MR. LEVITON: Okay. 42 MR. WEISS: To the extent that pool deck was 43 shortened or reduced in size by a couple of feet we're talking 44 about, a foot and a half, right? Would that impact in any way 45 one's ability to use the pool? Again without having seen it 46 and I apologize for not going to your property it's a little 47 unclear to me as to exactly where that is in relation to the 48 pool, the part that --- or goes over. 49 50 51 MR. CATAPANO: Yes it would be a problem. 52 53 MR. WEISS: Okay. Is there any pool equipment on that 54 area, a diving board, anything like that? 55 MR. CATAPANO: Yeah there's a board. 56 57 58 MR. WEISS: Okay. Alright I have no further 59 questions. 60 61 MR. LEVITON: Thank you Adam, David. 62

MR. SCHERTZ: Only one question when was the fence

63

64

installed?

64

it too.

PAGE 11

```
1
2
              MR. CATAPANO: With the pool.
3
4
              MR. SCHERTZ: With the pool?
5
              MR. CATAPANO: Yes.
6
7
8
              MR. SCHERTZ: And you installed the fence?
9
              MR. CATAPANO: Yes.
10
11
12
              MR. SCHERTZ: The entire circumference?
13
14
              MR. CATAPANO: Yes.
15
              MRS. CATAPANP: Mr. Fence did it, yeah.
16
17
18
              MR. CATAPANO: Yeah.
19
              MR. WEISS: Right thank you.
20
21
              MR. LEVITON: Bob.
22
23
24
              MR. GREGOWICZ: Just one question, what brought you
   to the zoning board? I mean what raised these issues after you
25
   put everything in that now you have to now come in to get the
    variances and everything that can clear it? What triggered it?
   Because you put everything in, you put the pool in, you put
   the fence in. You had issues before, but what caused you now
30
   to come before the board?
31
32
              MR. CATAPANO: Well we're thinking about selling the
   house so we need to get all our ducks in a row. We don't have
33
34
    a buyer yet.
35
              MR. GREGOWICZ: Right.
36
37
38
              MR. CATAPANO: But we heard that things have changed
    and we figured we'd come in and take care of it.
39
40
41
              MR. GREGOWICZ: Okay.
42
              MR. CATAPANO: Before we even decide to sell.
43
44
              MR. GREGOWICZ: Understood.
45
46
47
              MR. LEVITON: Thank you Bob, Basil.
48
              MR. MANTAGAS: Hi Mr. and Mrs. Catapano. You extended
49
50
    the driveway the same time you did the fence?
51
52
              MR. CATAPANO: No.
53
54
              MR. MANTAGAS: It was a separate time?
55
              MR. CATAPANO: Yes.
56
57
58
              MR. MANTAGAS: You had somebody, an asphalt company,
59
    do it for you?
60
61
              MR. CATAPANO: Yes.
62
```

MR. MANTAGAS: I see you have brick on the sides of

PAGE 12

```
1
2
              MR. CATAPANO: Yes. It actually leads into the
3
    walkway to the fence
4
5
              MR. CATAPANO: Right we just made the ---
6
7
              MRS. CATAPANO: We can't put a car or anything ---
8
              MR. CATAPANO: So instead of ---
9
10
              MR. MANTAGAS: So it wasn't made for a car. It was
11
12
    just made for a walkway?
13
              MR. CATAPANO: Oh yeah just to get into the backyard.
14
15
16
              MR. MANTAGAS: That wide?
17
              MR. CATAPANO: Because the gate's right ---
18
19
20
              MRS. CATAPANO: Yeah.
21
22
              MR. MANTAGAS: And in the shed you have electric? You
23
    have permits for the electrical and everything?
24
25
              MR. CATAPANO: Yes.
26
              MRS. CATAPANO: Yes.
27
28
              MR. MANTAGAS: To code?
29
30
31
              MR. CATAPANO: Yes.
32
33
              MRS. CATAPANO: Yes.
34
35
              MR. MANTAGAS: Thank you Mr. Chairman no more
36
    questions, thank you.
37
              MR. LEVITON: Thank you Mr. Mantagas, Mr. DiTota?
38
39
40
              MR. DITOTA: I have no questions.
41
              MR. LEVITON: Thank you Rob. Okay at this time I'm
42
    going to go out to the public and ask if there's anyone in
43
44
    attendance who wants to ask a question or address the board
    that now would be the time. Yes ma'am come on up and tell us
45
    who you are, but first Janice is going to give you a
46
    microphone.
47
48
              MS. MODULA: Can I just take this?
49
50
51
              MR. LEVITON: Yeah you can do that.
52
53
              MR. GLASS: If you could just please spell and state
54
    your name.
55
              MS. MODULA: Sure it's Jennifer Modula 66 Alexandria
56
57
    Drive.
58
59
              MS. MOENCH: Please spell your name.
60
              MS. MODULA: Jennifer do you need me to spell that?
61
    Okay M-O-D-U-L-A.
62
63
```

MS. MOENCH: Thank you.

MS. MODULA: Okay I'm here because I did receive a letter from the Catapanos and I'm just for my own record I bought my parent's house so I'm in the middle of construction. I've gone through, I didn't need zoning, but I've done construction and all of that. My question becomes on something such as my shed if I were to ever sell being that it was put in and permitted how many years ago. My parents have been in this house 37 years, does it become an issue when zoning was changed that now I would be screwed and have to move my shed as well or does that? I'm just saying because I feel like the fact that they got their CO and they were given I'm just confused as to why like the shed is an issue and like the fence as an issue? Right because I have, we've done things more than ten years ago as well.

MS. DEFALCO: I can't answer it because I don't have your survey in front of me.

MS. MODULA: Right.

MS. DEFALCO: I can't tell you if there is an issue or not an issue, but if you would like to stop by the Zoning office with the survey of the property I would be happy to answer any questions.

MS. MODULA: I'm not here to look to see about my issue. I'm here because I feel like if it was something that they've already gotten a CO for and approved, I'm just confused as to why it's an issue now.

MS. DEFALCO: Okay you can come to the office anytime and we can speak about it. This is really not the time and place for it if you have questions about this application?

MR. GLASS: They're just before the board because they require variance relief. To the extent there's additional questions I would contact the zoning office $\frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2}$

MS. MODULA: I can tell you when they replaced their fence; they replaced it with a newer model of what was there previously.

MR. GLASS: The municipal land use law just requires, the New Jersey Statutory Schemes require that if you're requesting certain relief or in this case they indicated that they're thinking of selling and they know that there are issues with the property that are not in compliance, they have opted to come before the board to retroactive compliance whatever term you want to use.

MS. MODULA: So just for clarification if zoning regulations change as a homeowner, when you go to sell that could potentially require a variance?

MR. GLASS: It's not a proper question. This is only a question about this application so if there's a question about the general of zoning I would agree that seeing.

MS. MODULA: Okay.

MS. DEFALCO: Come see me.

63

64

zoning.

PAGE 14

```
MS. MODULA: I just don't think that I just feel like
    if they were already granted permits and stuff for certain
    things then it should just be able to. Listen I don't know all
3
    the specifics.
5
              MS. DEFALCO: Right have you been listening? They
6
7
    were granted approval for one thing.
8
              MS. MODULA: Correct.
9
10
11
              MS. DEFALCO: And they did something else, that's
12
    different.
13
              MS. MODULA: And I'm just saying for instance the
14
15
    fence right, it's changed. Right? You said three foot in the
    front now based on their property.
16
17
18
              MR. LEVITON: It's always been three feet. The front
    yard setback changed from 50 to 60.
19
20
21
              MS. MODULA: Right
22
              MR. LEVITON: From 60 to 50.
23
24
25
              MS. MODULA: Right but what I'm saying is I'm
    confused of the fact that when they did it.
27
              MR. LEVITON: They weren't granted approval at the
28
    time. They would have had to come here to get approval and
29
   they didn't. So it's not as if they were given approval and
30
31
    then we're making them come in and jump through hoops. They
    never received approval. You can't put up a six foot fence in
32
    Manalapan Township without approval. You need three foot fence
33
    and if you have one and then it's identified in every house
34
    that gets sold in Manalapan Township now gets evaluated by the
35
    zoning officer and they're going to like a construction permit
36
    they're going to have to bring everything back into compliance
37
    or come here and get relief.
38
39
40
              MS. MODULA: Okay.
41
42
              MR. LEVITON: How long have you been here?
43
44
              MS. MODULA: My entire life.
45
46
              MR. LEVITON: And how long has it been since you
47
    bought your mom's house and you've been redoing it?
48
              MS. MODULA: Two years, but prior to that I lived in
49
50
    Yorktowne and prior to that I lived in Knob Hill and prior to
    that I lived in my parent's house.
51
52
53
              MS. DEFALCO: So you bought your parents' house in
    2020?
54
55
56
              MS. MODULA: Yes.
57
58
              MS. DEFALCO: Earlier in the year?
59
60
              MS. MODULA: Yes.
```

MS. DEFALCO: Then you should have gone through

PAGE 15

MR. LEVITON: Or your mom and dad did. 1 2 3 MS. DEFALCO: Yeah your parents should have went through the ZCCO process. 4 5 MS. MODULA: It was more of a change of a deed. 6 7 MS. DEFALCO: You're a change of occupancy so you 8 should've come to zoning. 9 10 MS. MODULA: When in 2020? 11 12 MS. DEFALCO: This came in effect in February so 13 doesn't matter. 14 15 MS. MODULA: This deed came in February 2020 16 17 18 MRS. CATAPANO: Well that I know because when I called the house I saw --- and I did go through all of that. 19 20 21 MS. DEFALCO: You had to have had a ZCCO 22 23 MS. MODULA: I didn't. There was no money. 24 25 MS. DEFALCO: It doesn't matter it's a change of 26 occupancy. 27 MS. MODULA: She's still there. They're still there. 28 Yeah they're still there, yeah. My father had passed away, but 29 30 my mom's still there. 31 32 MS. DEFALCO: Okay anyway. You can bring a survey down they can take a look if you'd like. 33 34 MS. MODULA: Okay thank you. 35 36 MR. LEVITON: Thank you Ms. Modula. Okay is there 37 anyone else from the public who'd like to address the board or 38 ask a question of the applicant? Seeing none I'll close 39 public. Let's go to our attorney Mr. Glass. 40 41 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MR}}\xspace$. GLASS: Thank you so I did hear there was some 42 discussion about the hardship and I think Chair you said it 43 soundly that a hardship has to run with the land and it is not 44 for either self-created situations and/or mistake so in this 45 case you'd be looking at it under the traditional, the C2 46 benefits versus the burden criteria, and again as the Chair 47 indicated the applicant has to demonstrate that the purposes 48 of the municipal land use law would be advanced by deviating 49 50 from the zoning ordinance and that the benefits of deviating there from would substantially outweigh any detriment. So I 51 would suggest maybe a little bit more testimony as to the lack 52 of detriment to the zoning ordinance or a substantial lack of 53 detriment at least so the record is clear. 54 55 MR. LEVITON: So I can easily do that. The positive 56 criteria I'm going to be a little bit more challenged on, but 57 58 I'll ask you do you see any substantial detriment to the 59 neighborhood at large from the improvements that you've made? 60 61 MR. CATAPANO: Oh absolutely not.

MR. LEVITON: Okay so we're covered there Mr. Glass.

62 63

64

PAGE 16

```
Can someone help me? I'm at a loss here. I don't know which
2
    purpose of the MLUL to hang a hat on here.
3
              MR. WEISS: Look if I can try. With regard to the
4
5
    fence, what type of fence was there previously?
6
7
              MR. CATAPANO: It was a wooden fence.
8
9
              MR. WEISS: It was a wooden fence. Was it in any
10
    state of disrepair?
11
              MR. CATAPANO: Oh it was horrible.
12
13
              MR. WEISS: Okay. Would people or children be unable
14
    to get through it at any point, to get into the pool?
15
16
              MRS. CATAPANO: Oh yeah they could've just pushed on
17
18
    it.
19
              MR. WEISS: Okay and the current fence that you put
20
21
   in now, its more secure than the prior fence?
22
23
              MRS. CATAPANO: Oh yeah.
24
25
              MR. WEISS: And it's more what's the word I'm looking
    for closed off than the one that was in disrepair?
26
27
              MR. CATAPANO: Right, yes.
28
29
30
              MRS. CATAPANO: Yes.
31
32
              MR. WEISS: Okay thank you.
33
34
              MR. LEVITON: Outstanding.
35
              MRS. CATAPANO: We put the fence, the white fence,
36
    I'm sorry I had surgery today.
37
38
39
              MR. WEISS: No problem.
40
              MRS. CATAPANO: I'm sorry. We put the white fence
41
    that we put exactly where the wood fence was.
42
43
44
              MR. WEISS: Okay.
45
46
              MRS. CATAPANO: We did the same. We followed the
47
    line.
48
49
              MR. LEVITON: And the vinyl is more attractive than
50
    the wood?
51
52
              MR. CATAPANO: Oh yes.
53
54
              MRS. CATAPANO: Oh yeah it looks really nice.
55
              MR. LEVITON: Okay. No substantial detriment and the
56
    fence is more secure to protect their pool and their interests
57
58
    and it's more attractive than the wood that it replaced.
59
60
```

MR. GLASS: Yeah and I guess as was pointed out the

property is again it's not a hardship per se, but the property

is more narrow than the average property.

```
MR. LEVITON: Like a pie shape almost, to the back.
1
2
3
              MR. CATAPANO: Yes like a trapezoid.
4
5
              MR. LEVITON: Trapezoid, yeah.
6
7
              MR. GLASS: And then I think to the extent I don't
    believe it was in the record, but I don't believe there's been
8
    any complaints about what is already there so.
9
10
11
              MR. LEVITON: Yeah okay, alright good then let's
12
    anything else Adam?
13
              MR. WEISS: I was going to make a motion.
14
15
              MR. LEVITON: Oh please do. Adam is going to move.
16
17
              MR. WEISS: I make a motion to approve the
18
    application as submitted subject to any conditions that were
19
    made based on the record. I don't believe we did place any.
20
21
              MR. LEVITON: No.
22
23
24
              MR. WEISS: So then it's just a motion to approve the
25
    application as submitted.
26
              MR. LEVITON: And will someone second it?
27
28
              MR. ROSENTHAL: I'll second.
29
30
31
              MR. LEVITON: Thank you Mr. Rosenthal.
32
              MR. ROSENTHAL: I'm not on video anymore I have to
33
34
    say my name.
35
              MR. LEVITON: Right.
36
37
    ROLL CALL:
38
39
              MS. MOENCH: Mr. Gregowicz?
40
41
              MR. GREGOWICZ: Yes.
42
43
              MS. MOENCH: Mr. DiTota?
44
45
              MR. DITOTA: Yes.
46
47
48
              MS. MOENCH: Mr. Schertz?
49
50
              MR. SCHERTZ: Yes.
51
52
              MS. MOENCH: Mr. Mantagas?
53
54
              MR. MANTAGAS: Yes.
55
              MS. MOENCH: Chair Leviton?
56
57
58
              MR. LEVITON: Congratulations and at our next regular
59
    meeting.
60
61
62
```

MS. MOENCH: I'm just going to call the roll again,

sorry. Due to an error.

PAGE 18

```
2
    ROLL CALL:
3
4
              MS. MOENCH: Mr. Gregowicz?
5
              MR. GREGOWICZ: Yes.
6
7
              MS. MOENCH: Mr. DiTota?
8
9
              MR. DITOTA: Yes.
10
11
              MS. MOENCH: Mr. Rosenthal?
12
13
              MR. ROSENTHAL: Yes.
14
15
              MS. MOENCH: Mr. Schertz?
16
17
18
              MR. SCHERTZ: Yes.
19
              MS. MOENCH: Mr. Weiss?
20
21
              MR. WEISS: Yes.
22
23
24
              MS. MOENCH: Mr. Mantagas?
25
              MR. MANTAGAS: Yes.
26
27
              MS. MOENCH: Chair Leviton?
28
29
              MR. LEVITON: Yes. Okay so on the 21st your
30
31
    application will be memorialized and you'll be good to go. You
    won't need to be here at that time.
32
33
34
              MR. CATAPANO: Okay.
35
              MR. LEVITON: Congratulations to you and good luck
36
    with the sale of your home.
37
38
               MRS. CATAPANO: Thank you. Thank you very much.
39
40
41
              MR. CATAPANO: Thank you.
42
              MR. LEVITON: You're welcome.
43
44
              MR. MANTAGAS: Good luck.
45
46
47
               MRS. CATAPANO: Thank you guys. Thanks Nancy.
48
49
              MR. LEVITON: Okay Mr. Spencer you're our next
50
    applicant and that I'm going to call your case its application
    number 2226. How are you sir?
51
52
53
              MR. SPENCER: Hello.
54
55
              MR. LEVITON: Hello.
56
              MR. SPENCER: How are you?
57
58
              MR. LEVITON: I'm well thanks.
59
60
              MR. SPENCER: Good.
61
62
              MR. LEVITON: Mr. Spencer our attorney Mr. Glass is
```

going to swear you in and then you'll begin.

```
1
2
              MR. GLASS: If you could please first please spell
3
    and state your name.
4
5
              MR. SPENCER: Gary Spencer.
6
7
              MR. GLASS: Spell your last name please.
8
              MR. SPENCER: S-P-E-N-C-E-R.
9
10
11
              MR. GLASS: And please raise your right hand and
    repeat after me. Do you swear or affirm that the testimony
12
    you're about to provide is the truth, the whole truth, and
13
    nothing but the truth?
14
15
16
              MR. SPENCER: Yes.
17
18
              MR. LEVITON: Mr. Spencer we're here about your
19
    fence. It's also six feet. Tell the board about it and explain
    to them the nature of your home and its proximity to Franklin
20
21
    Lane and Wickatunk.
22
23
              MR. SPENCER: Correct. Do they know where this is?
24
25
              MR. LEVITON: They do. They've seen it, but just let,
   we're going to put it on the record.
27
              MR. SPENCER: It's kind of like ---
28
29
              MR. LEVITON: Yeah we're just going to put it on the
30
31
   record sir.
32
33
              MR. SPENCER: I'd explain where it is, it's okay.
34
35
              MR. LEVITON: Okay.
36
37
              MR. SPENCER: No, no problem.
38
39
              MR. LEVITON: Okay thanks.
40
41
              MR. SPENCER: So they know?
42
43
              MR. LEVITON: They do.
44
45
              MR. SPENCER: Okay.
46
47
              MR. LEVITON: Okay.
48
              MR. SPENCER: Alright so you want to tell me. What
49
50
51
    because of the setback that's what I'm trying to get a
52
53
    corner it's I got two roads so. I was told I have two front
54
    yards even though I never thought I did, but anyway so my
55
```

I'm trying to do is get a variance for the fence, okay and the front porch, okay. The fence is the main issue right now. It's variance for. I think it's 75 foot setback because I live on a reasons for the variance would be on D or C, a hardship which 56 you had stated because of the irregularity shape of the road 57 58 because it's on a curve but the main thing is also that it's the ramp way off of Route 9 coming up to Wickatunk. So it's a 59 very busy road, heavily travelled, lot of time traffic is 60 backed up because people make left-hand turns when they're not 61 supposed. It's illegal if you know the intersection its bad, 62 but whatever. So it's that corner lot there that's the issue. 63 It's a corner lot. The other thing is that aesthetically what 64

I put up was a white vinyl fence, okay replacing a preexisting fence that was there. It was chain link fence, but
we'll get into that if you want to see the surveys that I had
done, but aesthetically I think it looks much better and more
appealing to the town from what was there and from what is all
the fences that are behind me which are wooden fences. A lot
of them overgrown, property not taken care of on the township
side of the fence and a lot of the wooden fences have
deteriorated. Some of them have been replaced, some have not;
it's just a mess. I think technically aesthetically mine looks
the best, but it's a matter of opinion.

MR. LEVITON: Can you tell us about the porch?

MR. SPENCER: Okay the porch was done back in I want to say 2006. Okay and I got the permits and I had permits for electrical and construction. They were all approved and whatever. Didn't know anything about the zoning at the time until this came up when I brought a survey, an old survey from 1984, to the board and it was brought to my attention so I had a whole new survey done and we see it's about three, maybe three and a half, feet over from a 75 foot setback. It's a very pretty porch I did. Again the hardship there would be aesthetically it looks much nicer than a cement slab that was there. I saw in the pictures I don't know if do you have access to those pictures?

MR. LEVITON: We do. We've seen them.

MR. SPENCER: Okay, okay.

MR. LEVITON: We have them all.

MR. SPENCER: When I saw the pictures I thought they were cropped, but its funny I'm not real big tech savvy, but what I realized was when because I took some of them horizontal, some vertical, what I realized was you know what it took them vertical instead of seeing a whole porch or whatever, but you can see from the sides. You can see there's three pictures of the porch. The rest is all of the properties, everything else for the fence, but that was I never had a zoning variance for the porch. I just have the construction and I have electrical permits that were approved back in 2006 and 7.

MR. LEVITON: Nancy what's the issue with the porch?

 MS. DEFALCO: The porch is minimal, but the setback is 75 and he's approximately 71 so again we're here for the fence, but we might as well address the porch as well.

MR. LEVITON: Okay. He doesn't identify in the denial so I just want to get it on the record.

MR. SPENCER: Go for it.

 MR. LEVITON: And Mr. Glass is going to give you a C2 variance for that because you've indicated that it improves the aesthetics in the neighborhood and I have nothing further. There's no one here. I'm going to open and close public and then we'll go out to the board, Mr. DiTota.

MR. DITOTA: I have no questions.

64

PAGE 21

```
MR. LEVITON: And Mr. Mantagas?
1
2
3
              MR. MANTAGAS: Mr. Spencer, are you going to change
    that fence you said? The wood fence, are you going to?
4
5
              MR. SPENCER: No my fence, what was there before I
6
7
    moved there in 1984 on the survey
8
              MR. MANTAGAS: Is that the picture of the wood fence?
9
10
              MR. SPENCER: No I'll show you. There is no picture
11
   of the wood fence. You're looking at the pictures now?
12
13
              MR. MANTAGAS: Yeah.
14
15
              MR. SPENCER: Tell me what number picture you are
16
    looking at.
17
18
              MR. MANTAGAS: Number seven.
19
20
21
              MR. SPENCER: Seven, okay.
22
              MR. SPENCER: No you know what that is? That is if
23
24
    you came down the ramp and went which is Franklin Lane and
    then went actually on Franklin Lane that is a wooden fence
    that I was showing you how, that is a lot along Franklin Lane.
27
              MR. MANTAGAS: Oh okay.
28
29
              MR. SPENCER: And how it's overgrown. It was just to
30
31
    show you that mine doesn't look like that.
32
33
              MR. MANTAGAS: I see thank you.
34
              MR. SPENCER: I took a bunch a pictures because I
35
    thought hey I might as well show bad with good you know?
36
37
38
              MR. MANTAGAS: No more questions.
39
              MS. DEFALCO: Mr. Spencer is the fence already
40
    existing, the new vinyl fence?
41
42
              MR. SPENCER: Yes.
43
44
45
              MS. DEFALCO: Okay.
46
47
              MR. LEVITON: Picture 17 for the record.
48
              MR. SPENCER: Seventeen is along the Franklin Lane,
49
50
    yes and the other you know it's funny because the front of it
    which is obviously behind the setback goes towards the side of
51
    the house or whatever.
52
53
54
              MR. LEVITON: It's ten and eleven.
55
56
              MR. SPENCER: It got cropped up.
57
58
              MR. LEVITON: Ten and eleven.
59
60
              MS. DEFALCO: So Mr. Spencer provided two surveys.
61
62
              MR. SPENCER: No, that's not it.
```

MR. DEFALCO: Mr. Spencer.

```
1
2
              MR. SPENCER: Sorry.
3
4
              MS. DEFALCO: 1984.
5
              MR. SPENCER: I could show you.
6
7
              MS. DEFALCO: Wait a minute.
8
9
10
              MR. SPENCER: Okay I'm sorry.
11
              MS. DEFALCO: Shows the fence existed in 1984, a
12
13
   chain link correct in '84?
14
15
              MR. SPENCER: 1984.
16
              MS. DEFALCO: Chain link.
17
18
              MR. SPENCER: It was put in probably in the '70s, we
19
20
   don't know.
21
              MS. DEFALCO: And you replaced that chain link.l
22
23
24
              MR. SPENCER: Yes which was fallen down,
25
    deteriorated, broken, and yes.
              MS. DEFALCO: And you're going to move the fence in,
27
28
   right?
29
             MR. SPENCER: Well the other issue is again if you I
30
31
   don't know if anybody has a copy of the survey that was done
   in 1984, okay. I just wanted this if you see the chain link
32
   fence that comes from the side of the house out you can see
33
   that it looks like it's 6.8 over the boundary line. Now why
34
   this was allowed? I have no idea, but you know I got stuck
35
   holding the ball so I got to fix it. So when I decided to move
36
   my fence in and take that down I had them go eight foot
37
   sections all the way out and then back and it was actually
38
   about three feet short of this here. So when I was told that
39
   that wouldn't work because we don't know if you're over or not
40
    with the boundary I had a new survey done. So you would see,
41
    do you have that? Everybody have the new survey?
42
43
              MS. DEFALCO: Yes.
44
45
46
              MR. SPENCER: Okay so the new survey shows that it's
    now only three feet over the boundary line and as it goes to
47
    the back of the yard corner, it's actually 2.3 feet inside the
48
   boundary line. So what I'm looking to do in addition to the
49
   variance is I know and this is why I wish I had known this
    first because I would have saved time and money. Alright not
51
    having to move the fence again all these sections, but I would
52
    like to move the fence in off the boundary line and kind of
53
54
    straight back to the corner okay so.
55
              MS. DEFALCO: So what does the four and the eight
56
   represent on the new survey? I get the four feet because
57
58
    you're going to move it where it's 3.8 off your property, but
59
    what's the eight feet in the back? Are you going to go eight
```

MR. SPENCER: I think what happened was I was asked how much do you want to move it in okay? Is that what you're

60

61 62

63

feet?

61

62

63

64

that's 3.8 ---

PAGE 23

talking about? Are you talking about something on the survey or something that was handed? 4 MS. DEFALCO: You wrote handwritten eight. 5 MR. SPENCER: Oh okay I didn't write it, but it's 6 7 okay. It's okay. 8 MS. DEFALCO: Janice what does it mean? 9 10 11 MR. SPENCER: I could tell you what it means. Its okay I'm not going to say who did it. I can say I did it, it's 12 alright. What happened was I said I moved it in eight feet and 13 eight feet and then I thought eight feet in would be one 14 15 section so it would still look like eight foot sections up at the front and then I was going to do the same to the back. 16 However, if you could see on the picture number one that this 17 is actually the back corner of my fence and where the old 18 chain link fence used to abut right up to the corner of the 19 wooden fence behind my property. So being that we made it a 20 little bit straighter it is now just over two feet, two feet 21 one inches, inside the corner and it's off the property line. 22 23 So I was hoping to maybe leave that corner there and just move the foot in eight feet and leave the back there and then they 25 would just make it more of an angle, but it would still be off the property line. 27 MS. DEFALCO: It still wouldn't be eight feet. You 28 want to move it in? 29 30 31 MR. SPENCER: I want to leave the back corner as it 32 is. 33 34 MS. DEFALCO: And then you want to connect the front. 35 MR. SPENCER: The front fence. 36 37 MR. SPENCER: Take one whole section in the front out 38 and then run the eleven sections straight back to the corner 39 that's there. See and here's the thing I thought again 40 aesthetically that it would look all those fences as you can 41 see here like in this. Here's all the wooden fences that come 42 up along on picture number two that run along the curb, the 43 Franklin Lane ramp way. These are all the fences there and 44 mine starts right about here. So since they're all not in a 45 row and the chain link used to be in a row I thought, 46 aesthetically, it wouldn't look good if I'm only in two now, but if I moved it in eight more I'd be now ten feet in. I 47 48 don't think that would look good for the township you follow 49 50 51 52 MR. LEVITON: I do. 53 54 ${\tt MS.}$ DEFALCO: So I want you to put on the record you 55 want relief for the front yard. You want to be 2.3 feet from Franklin Lane? 56 57 58 MR. SPENCER: For the front? 59

MS. DEFALCO: For the front, yes of Franklin.

that because an eight foot section if I took I'm guessing

MR. SPENCER: It's probably going to be more than

63

64

PAGE 24

```
1
2
               MS. DEFALCO: You said you wanted to keep that back
3
    corner?
4
5
               MR. SPENCER: Yeah.
6
7
               MS. DEFALCO: That's 2.3 feet away from Franklin
8
    Lane.
9
10
               MR. SPENCER: Oh yeah I want to keep that one corner
    at the back. You're going from the front, okay I'm sorry.
11
12
               MS. DEFALCO: No I'm going off the side.
13
14
               MR. SPENCER: Yeah.
15
16
               MS. DEFALCO: Towards the front.
17
18
19
              MR. SPENCER: Yeah.
20
21
               MS. DEFALCO: Do you want to keep that at 2.3?
22
               MR. SPENCER: Correct.
23
24
25
               MS. DEFALCO: Now you're going to bring the fence
    onto your property, off the right of way when you meet the
    front fence, how far is the fence going to be from the
27
28
    property line?
29
               MR. SPENCER: It will be about, if that means 3.8
30
31
    inches, it would technically be 4.4 inches.
32
33
               MS. DEFALCO: So you're going to need four 4.5 feet
34
    from ---
35
               MR. SPENCER: Yeah more.
36
37
               MS. DELFALCO: From the one that goes across
38
39
    Wickatunk?
40
               MR. SPENCER: Yeah.
41
42
               MS. DEFALCO: Four and a half feet and two feet,
43
44
    three inches on the back.
45
               MR. SPENCER: On the back and because again I want to
46
47
    be inside the property line, obviously.
48
49
               MS. DEFALCO: Yeah because it kind of curves.
50
               MR. SPENCER: That's right. I know and since its
51
    state property I got to be off there, but what I did was I took a straight line from that again I don't know if every one
52
53
54
    of those dots is exactly eight feet. I don't know because I
55
    didn't have the little ruler that you use.
56
57
58
               MR. SPENCER: Well you have a little ruler that
59
    measures every foot.
60
               MS. DEFALCO: Got it, I see
61
```

MR. SPENCER: When I just put from that back corner

to the front I am just inside. I took anything straight and it

62

63

PAGE 25

stays just inside that line. I just wanted to make sure that was okay because I don't want to have to move this fence a third time. 3 4 5 MS. DEFALCO: I don't know if it's okay, but you have to let the board know what you are proposing. 6 MR. SPENCER: That's what I'm proposing right now. If 8 I move them both in eight feet I know I'd be in, but I think 9 the other way would actually look better and aesthetically 10 11 look better. 12 MR. LEVITON: I think I can't speak for the board, 13 but I believe they're inclined to give you whatever you ask 14 for so why don't you ask for relief that's more generous that 15 you're going to need and Mr. Glass will write it into ---16 17 18 MR. SPENCER: I'm going to ask for to move the front of the northeast part of the fence towards Wickatunk in 4.4 19 feet, okay and keep the back post the same. 20 21 MS. DEFALCO: Okay you moved it in 4.4 you're only 22 23 going to be right on the property line. 24 25 MR. SPENCER: I'm sorry. Well I'm moving it in eight feet. No I'm moving the front in eight feet which will be 4.4 26 off the line. 27 28 MR. LEVITON: Keep the inside 4.4 feet. 29 30 31 MR. SPENCER: Right keep it inside the line 4.4. 32 MS. DEFALCO: Okay you're request 4.4. 33 34 MR. SPENCER: From the property line. So I'm 35 requesting to move it in eight feet total from where it is 36 37 now. 38 MR. LEVITON: He doesn't need our permission to move 39 it in. Why is he asking? 40 41 MS. DEFALCO: It's over the line --- right now. 42 43 MR. LEVITON: Who's compelling him to do that Nancy? 44 I didn't read about it in the denial. 45 46 47 MS. DEFALCO: What didn't you read? 48 49 MR. WEISS: The height was the issue. 50 MR. LEVITON: The height was the issue. 51 52 MR. WEISS: Six feet that's the issue. 53 54 55 MR. LEVITON: But that's news to me, because I don't think this board is compelling him to move it, are we? 56 57 58 MS. DEFALCO: Yes. 59 MR. LEVITON: We are. 60

MS. DEFALCO: He has to go off the right-of-way. Now

if the board wants to grant the variance at 4.4 and 2.3 that's

PAGE 26 up to the board, but he definitely has to go off the right-ofway. 3 4 MS. MOENCH: The fence has to move off the right-of-5 way no matter what. 6 7 MS. DEFALCO: No matter what. 8 MS. MOENCH: So we figured that we go for the 9 variance and see what the board says before. 10 11 MR. LEVITON: I understand. Mr. Glass can you define 12 right-of-way for the board members who may or may not know 13 what it means? 14 15 MS. DEFALCO: Not your property. 16 17 18 MR. GLASS: In certain, permissive use, but I'll use permissive very generally to use a portion of property that is 19 not yours. However the way the zoning you would need something 20 21 either more affirmative, something if there was a deed recorded giving him the right to put the fence on there. In 22 this case the fence happens to be in the right-of-way more so 23 than there's anything officially giving expressed permission to be there. So if he's going to build a fence it has to be done on his property and out of what is not his property. The same way if someone was building, if someone turns up that their fence is three feet onto someone else's property as in yours, mine, or anyone else here just like that. 30 31 MR. LEVITON: And does it typically happen with 32 mailboxes where they're too far in ---33 34 MS. DEFALCO: That's the only exception to that. 35 36 MR. LEVITON: The mailbox is an exception? 37 MS. DEFALCO: Yes. 38 39 MR. LEVITON: It's allowed to be in the right-of-way? 40 But what do you call the bottom part of the driveway? The 41 apron's in the right-of-way correct? It belongs to not the 42 homeowner? Okay. 43 44 45 MR. ROSENTHAL: Years ago we had a guy wanted to 46 build a tennis court with half of it on his neighbor's 47 property. 48 49 MR. SCHERTZ: I have a question. 50 51 MR. LEVITON: I remember, yes. 52 MR. SCHERTZ: How far in does the fence have to be 53 54 from the right-of-way by law? 55 MS. DEFALCO: So we go by property line and for a 56 fence that's six feet in height you would have to be 75 feet. 57 So where you see that dashed line. 58 59

62 MS. DEFALCO: That's where the fence would have to be 63 64 at six feet.

60 61

to be.

MR. SPENCER: Yeah that's where the fence would have

```
2
              MR. SCHERTZ: Okay.
3
              MR. LEVITON: And have you hammered out the details
4
5
    with the survey and for memorialization?
6
7
              MR. GLASS: That's what I think we're trying to
8
    clarify right now.
9
              MS. DEFALCO: Yeah I just want to clarify and also I
10
    apologize I may not have updated my denial. What is that? The
11
    fence was pre-existing? The wood shed, the wood shed is it
12
    still existing?
13
14
15
              MR. SPENCER: The wood shed is still existing been
16
   there for about 35 years.
17
              {\tt MS.} DEFALCO: Okay you'll need a variance for that
18
    and if the board grants the variance you'll need approval from
19
   WMUA
20
21
              MR. SPENCER: WMUA?
22
23
24
              MS. DEFALCO: Yes.
25
              MR. SPENCER: I spoke to WMUA. I spoke to Annie
26
27
    Rafferty.
28
              MS. DEFALCO: Rafferty?
29
30
31
              MR. SPENCER: Yeah and she was going to get back to
   me because she was presenting to the supervisor. They said
32
    they tried for ten days.
33
34
35
              MS. DEFALCO: Okay.
36
              MR. SPENCER: And I didn't ask her eleven days ago so
37
    I haven't heard. I was hoping I would have heard, but not yet
38
    so. If they say it's okay the problem is that wood shed as
39
    Janice measured is 10 by 12 square feet which would then have
40
    to be in ten from the rear and fifteen feet from the side. I
41
    know according to the thing unless it's grandfathered in
42
    there, but it's not. Even if they did allow it would you have
43
    to have a variance?
44
45
              MS. DEFALCO: Yes that's what it is
46
47
48
              MR. SPENCER: To leave it?
49
50
              MS. DEFALCO: To leave it.
51
52
              MR. SPENCER: Otherwise what I would do is I would
53
    remove it.
54
55
              MS. DEFALCO: Okay so if the board were to grant the
    variance for the wood shed and WMUA says no, then you'll move
56
    it to a conforming location.
57
58
59
              MR. SPENCER: Or take it down?
60
61
              MS. DEFALCO: Or take it down.
62
              MR. SPENCER: Or demolish it.
63
```

MR. SPENCER: A hundred percent. MS. DEFALCO: Okay so either it will be 15 and 15. MR. SPENCER 15 and 10. MS. DEFALCO: Whatever you need to be all you have to get. MR. SPENCER: I got one question so I understand this. If WMUA says it's okay on that easement. MS. DEFALCO: And the board grants the variance then you don't have to do anything. MR. SPENCER: I can leave it alone? MS. DEFALCO: Yes. MR. SPENCER: So to get that variance I would have to go through the whole procedure like I did with this? MS. DEFALCO: No, no we're talking about now that's why. MR. SPENCER: Oh super. MR. LEVITON: But we need ---MR. SPENCER: Oh man that would be great. MR. LEVITON: We'd like it on the record just so we understand. MR. SPENCER: Okay. MR. LEVITON: I'm just a little unclear. I'm first hearing about it now. Where is the shed now and how much is it not compliant? MS. DEFALCO: It shows on the new survey not the old one. MR. SPENCER: Correct. That's because I built it. MR. SPENCER: After I lived there. MS. DEFALCO: It says that it's 3.39 from the rear property line whereas ten is required. MR. SPENCER: Right. MS. DEFALCO: And he is a little shy let's say thirteen feet. MR. SPENCER: On the left side. MR. LEVITON: Where fifteen feet is required.

MS. DEFALCO: Fifteen is required.

PAGE 29

```
MR. LEVITON: Okay. So we will likely, favorably
2
    grant you a variance for that.
3
4
              MR. SPENCER: And the WMUA goes.
5
              MR. LEVITON: And then that's up to WMUA and if they
6
7
    say no you've already agreed to take it down. Mr. Glass will
    have that as a condition of the variance relief.
8
9
              MR. SPENCER: So if I hear within the next two weeks?
10
11
              MS. DEFALCO: no
12
13
14
              MR. SPENCER: Okay
15
              MR. LEVITON: Whenever you hear.
16
17
              MR. SPENCER: Oh for sure.
18
19
              MR. LEVITON: That's all.
20
21
22
              MR. SPENCER: Not a problem.
23
24
              MR. LEVITON: Okay.
25
              MS. DEFALCO: So the end of the driveway.
26
27
              MR. SPENCER: What?
28
29
              MS. DEFALCO: Four and a half feet.
30
31
32
              MR. LEVITON: It may not have been him Nancy right?
    He's been there thirty-eight years, but there could have been
33
34
    another owner.
35
              MS. DEFALCO: You look at the older survey you'll see
36
    there was a bump out and it appears that it was extended.
37
38
              MR. LEVITON: It does.
39
40
41
              MR. SPENCER: You're talking about my flower box
42
43
    thing?
44
45
              MS. DEFALCO: No the driveway.
46
47
              MR. SPENCER: Oh okay alright.
48
              MR. WEISS: Where it says wood ties.
49
50
51
              MR. SPENCER: Yup.
52
53
              MS. DEFALCO: If you look at your older survey you
54
    have like a little bump out. It looks like its all the way
    down to be a wider driveway.
55
56
              MR. SPENCER: Oh I see what you're saying. Probably
57
    because I had kids in there they parked there, but yeah that's
58
    a problem. Yeah obviously that's how it is one hundred percent
59
    so it is now. So if I need a variance for that, let's do it.
60
61
62
              MS. DEFALCO: Now's the time.
```

MR. SPENCER: Absolutely let's do it.

1 2 MS. DEFALCO: We don't want you back getting another. 3 MR. SPENCER: I agree and I would prefer not to come 5 back and bother you with this step because again a lot of these thing I don't know about. Again that was a long time 6 ago. It's almost time for a new driveway. 8 9 MR. DITOTA: Mr. Chairman can I ask a question? 10 11 MR. LEVITON: Absolutely Mr. DiTota. 12 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MR}}\xspace.$ DITOTA: Because from the time that you asked me 13 if I had any questions to now I became very much confused. 14 Maybe Nancy you can help me with this. The new survey ${\tt I'm}$ on 15 alright it shows the dotted line where he wants to put the 16 fence now right? 17 18 19 MR. SPENCER: No. 20 21 MS. DEFALCO: That shows that dotted line that's in 22 the right-of-way that's where the fence exists now. 23 24 MR. DITOTA: Okay so it's supposed to be where the 25 other dotted line where it says 75 setback line. 26 MS. DEFALCO: That's where it's supposed to be 27 28 legally. 29 MR. DITOTA: So he's essentially asking for 75 foot? 30 31 32 MR. SPENCER: No, no can I show? 33 MS. DEFALCO: Yes no he's right. You are asking for 34 75 feet. He replaced what was there so he's confused about 35 that, but yes he is. 36 37 MR. DITOTA: But the variance is 75 feet? 38 39 MS. DEFALCO: Yes. 40 41 MR. DITOTA: That's what I want to understand because 42 before I didn't. 43 44 45 MR. SPENCER: Yeah I don't want to put the fence 75 feet in. 46 47 MR. SPENCER: I understand that that's why I'm trying 48 to get the variance for the setback. 49 50 51 MR. LEVITON: It's the fault of that darn curve. It's 52 the darn curve. It's the curve that's throwing everything 53 54 here. That's why you're in the right-of-way, it's the curve. 55 MR. SPENCER: You know what's funny. 56 57 58 MR. LEVITON: And it wasn't your fault. It was the 59 previous people before 60 MR. SPENCER: Because you can see the whole thing was 61

over before, but you know what I thought was funny. When you

look at the way it goes down with this whole curve, okay if

you measure the fence that's there now it's 19 feet to the

62

63

63

64

PAGE 31

```
curb. Okay if I come down here for the rest of the curb from
    that corner of that fence down here it's 19 feet. But
    apparently I don't know why you would think it wouldn't start
    to get wider until up here, but if they're telling me in the
    survey, they do their jobs, that it's three feet over I
    believe them. I have no idea.
6
              MR. LEVITON: Absolutely.
8
9
              MR. SPENCER: I just know that what was there was not
10
   good and I would've done this probably a year and a half ago.
11
    I would've been in the same boat, but the prices went up. The
12
    supply was hard to get and I wanted to go with a dark walnut
13
    to match the deck and the price was ridiculous. That's how it
14
15
   was so I went white.
16
              MR. LEVITON: Rob.
17
18
              MR. DITOTA: One more question. In order to get off
19
   the right-of-way we're saying that he has to come in 3.8 feet?
20
21
    Just to be on his property correct?
22
              MS. DEFALCO: I'm sorry.
23
24
25
              MR. DITOTA: In order for him to get off the right-
   of-way he has to be 3.8 feet in on his property and he's still
    in the setback.
27
              MS. DEFALCO: Still have to give him the variance for
29
30 the setback.
31
32
              MR. DITOTA: Alright.
33
34
              MS. DEFALCO: But.
35
              MR. DITOTA: I better understand that now.
36
37
              MS. DEFALCO: It appears he's going to stay at the
38
    2.3 in the back corner and by the time he brings in the front
39
    because of the curve of the plan he'll be 4.4 there whereas 75
40
    is required.
41
42
              MR. LEVITON: I'm thrilled that you asked. ----
43
44
    Nobody should be confused up here when you don't know you ask.
    I love it.
45
46
47
              MR. DITOTA: Yeah I thought I knew what I was doing.
    I thought I knew before, but then a lot of transpired.
48
49
50
              MR. LEVITON: Okay good stuff. Basil how about you?
51
52
              MR. MANTAGAS: No more questions I understand.
53
54
              MR. LEVITON: Bob?
55
56
              MR. GREGOWICZ: No questions.
57
58
              MR. LEVITON: David?
59
60
              MR. SCHERTZ: No more.
61
```

MR. LEVITON: Terry?

MR. ROSENTHAL: No more.

```
MR. LEVITON: Joshua?
3
4
              MR. SHALIKAR: No questions.
5
              MR. LEVITON: We're good up here. There's nobody in
6
    attendance so there's nothing for public. I'm opening and
7
    closing it. Dustin have you got the numbers hammered out?
8
              MR. GLASS: We have. I think we are squared away
10
   here. So I guess in some it appeared the applicant is looking
11
   for what I have is five variances. I'll start I guess in
12
   order. For the fence its 2.3 feet from the rear yard where 75
13
   feet is required street side sorry, 4.4 feet from the street
14
15
    side where 75 feet is required so those are the two for the
    fence. Then moving to the driveway as was stated ten feet is
16
   required from the side yard whereas this 4.5 feet and then the
17
18
   shed has indicated, the shed is currently 3.39 feet from the
   street side or rear sorry and then thirteen feet from the side
19
   yard. Ten feet is required for the rear fifteen feet for the
20
21
    side yard and as was stated and the applicant has agreed if
   the variances for that. Oh yes the porch thank you. So if the
22
23
   variances are granted for the shed it would be conditional
   upon approval from the WMUA and if that is not granted the
25
    applicant will either bring the shed into conformance or
   remove it and then lastly we have the front porch where the
   setback requirement is 75 feet and the porch would be 71 feet.
    So it's actually six variances as Nancy helped me out there.
29
              MR. LEVITON: And just for the record the Western
30
31
   Monmouth Utilities Association has to grant approval for the
32
    shed's presence because there is?
33
34
              MS. DEFALCO: An easement.
35
              MR. LEVITON: An easement that it sits on.
36
37
38
              MS. DEFALCO: Yes.
39
40
              MR. GLASS: Correct.
41
42
              MR. LEVITON: Okay.
43
44
              MR. SPENCER: Sewer easement.
45
46
              MR. LEVITON: Sewer easement.
47
48
              MR. SPENCER: How did you get six on there? I got
49
    five. Where'd you get the sixth from?
50
              MR. GLASS: You have the driveway.
51
52
              MR. SPENCER: Yeah.
53
54
55
              MR. GLASS: The front porch.
56
57
              MR. SPENCER: Yeah.
58
59
              MR. GLASS: Two for the fence.
60
61
              MR. SPENCER: Yeah.
62
63
              MR. GLASS: And then also two for the shed.
```

PAGE 33

```
MR. SPENCER: Oh two for the shed? Okay I got you. --
1
2
3
              \ensuremath{\mathsf{MR}}\xspace . GLASS: And then I guess I can touch on the
    criteria because I guess we have a few. I would agree --- the
    property is unique in shape obviously so that uniqueness would
    drive some of the variances potentially for the fence. However
    as was indicated the benefits versus the burden analysis would
8
    drive many of the other variances so I think there is
    testimony as to both. I think the applicant has, you could
10
    analyze them under either. Again since I were to say more of
11
    them are benefits versus burdens analyzing it as a whole if
12
    that makes some sense, but again there is testimony as to the
13
    hardship for the fence aspect.
14
15
              MR. LEVITON: His legal acumen off the charts, but
16
    okay so for everyone else he's going to get a C2 variance for
17
    everything. That's what it's going to come down and it won't
18
    make a bit of difference to the homeowner. Okay will someone
19
   make a motion please?
20
21
              MR. WEISS: I'll make a motion to approve the
22
23
    application as submitted and as amended tonight subject to the
24
    conditions that Mr. Glass stated.
25
              MR. LEVITON: And will someone second that?
26
27
              MR. DITOTA: I'll second that.
28
29
              MR. LEVITON: Thank you Robert.
30
31
32
              MS. MOENCH: Who seconded it?
33
34
              MR. LEVITON: Mr. DiTota.
35
    ROLL CALL:
36
37
38
              MS. MOENCH: Mr. Gregowicz?
39
40
              MR. GREGOWICZ: Yes.
41
42
              MS. MOENCH: Mr. DiTota?
43
              MR. DITOTA: Yes.
44
45
              MS. MOENCH: Mr. Rosenthal?
46
47
48
              MR. ROSENTHAL: Yes.
49
50
              MS. MOENCH: Mr. Schertz?
51
              MR. SCHERTZ: Yes.
52
53
54
              MS. MOENCH: Mr. Weiss?
55
56
              MR. WEISS: Yes.
57
58
              MS. MOENCH: Mr. Mantagas?
59
60
              MR. MANTAGAS: Yes.
61
62
              MS. MOENCH: Chair Leviton?
```

```
MR. LEVITON: Yes. Mr. Spencer congratulations. We're
    going to adopt a formal resolution at a subsequent meeting and
    that will be mailed to you by Janice. She'll also answer any
3
    questions you have. She'll take good care of you like she
5
    always does. She always does. She's the best.
6
7
              MR. SPENCER: I know.
8
              MR. LEVITON: We're so lucky to have her you have no
9
10
    idea.
11
              MR. SPENCER: I tell her that she thinks I'm a pain
12
13
   in the ass.
14
15
              MR. LEVITON: No she doesn't.
16
              MR. SPENCER: I try to be. She doesn't want to see me
17
18
    anymore.
19
              MR. LEVITON: No Mr. Spencer you're wrong. I never
20
21
    want to see you for that either.
22
              MR. SPENCER: I agree. I don't ever want to come here
23
24
    for that anymore.
25
              MR. LEVITON: Yeah me either. I think they're done.
26
    With that I don't know.
27
28
              MR. SPENCER: I haven't --- lately.
29
30
31
              MR. LEVITON: It's withdrawn. It's definitely done.
32
33
              MR. SPENCER: Oh it is? Okay.
34
35
              MR. LEVITON: Yeah.
36
37
              MR. SPENCER: Good because the last time I spoke to
    Sal Alveery he's got other things going on so it's on hold,
38
    but he was trying I don't know if you know this, he was trying
39
40
    t.o ---
41
              MR. LEVITON: Just a second Mr. Spencer. I need
42
43
    someone to move to adjourn.
44
              MR. DITOTA: I make the motion.
45
46
47
              MR. LEVITON: Thank you Mr. DiTota and Mr. Shalikar
    seconded it. Thank you Josh. Mr. Spencer.
48
49
                              *****
50
51
52
53
54
55
```